

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP-75-2018 (O&M)
Date of Decision:04.12.2023

Nitu

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present:- Mr. Sandeep Parkash Chahar, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Saurabh Mohunta, DAG, Haryana.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI J.(Oral)

In the present petition, the claim of the petitioner is for grant of Ex-gratia Compassionate Appointment.

Learned counsel for the respondent submits that in the present case, while considering the claim of the petitioner under Compassionate Assistance to the Dependents of Deceased Government Employees Rules, 2006 Rules (for short '2006 Rules'), the petitioner has already been granted the benefit of Ex-gratia Financial Assistance equivalent to the last drawn salary by the employee concerned till the said employee have attained the age of superannuation and thereafter will get the family pension.

Learned counsel for the respondent further submits that under 2006 Rules, there is no availability of compassionate appointment, hence, the benefit which was admissible to the petitioner under the abovesaid rules has already been extended to her.

The learned counsel for the petitioner has not been able to rebut the fact that the petitioner has already been granted ex-gratia financial assistance, as advanced under 2006 Rules.

That being so, no further benefit of compassionate appointment can be given to the petitioner in the facts and circumstances of the present case, hence, no further order is required to be passed on the prayer of the petitioner.

Disposed of as above.

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

04.12.2023
shweta

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No