

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

239

CRM-M-44189-2022
Date of Decision: 12.01.2023

Sandeep @ Bhindi @ Sandeep Kumar Petitioner
Versus
State of Haryana Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANOOP CHITKARA
Present:- Mr. Deepak Kumar, Advocate for the petitioner.
Mr. Rajat Gautam, D.A.G, Haryana.

ANOOP CHITKARA, J. (ORAL)

Vide order dated 04.11.2022, this Court had granted interim bail to the petitioner.

Counsel for the petitioner on instructions submits that all the conditions of interim order dated 04.11.2022 have been complied with and the petitioner accepts all the conditions.

State counsel does not dispute the statement made by counsel for the petitioner.

Furthermore, the petitioner was granted interim protection, and during the interregnum, there is no allegation that he had intimidated the witnesses.

Given above, there would be no justification to discontinue the interim bail dated 04.11.2022 and the same is made absolute subject to the petitioner complying with the terms of the bail order.

The present petition is allowed. All pending miscellaneous applications, if any, stand disposed of.

(ANOOP CHITKARA)
JUDGE

12.01.2023
Jyoti-II

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No