

Civil Revision No. 4131 of 2022

[1]

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

Civil Revision No. 4131 of 2022 (O&M)

Date of decision: 17th February, 2023

Kimmy Bindra

Petitioner

Versus

Intel Capital Limited and others

Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AVNEESH JHINGAN

Present: Mr. V. K. Sachdeva, Advocate for the petitioner.
Mr. Manish Jain, Advocate for respondent No.1.

AVNEESH JHINGAN, J (Oral):

This revision petition is filed aggrieved of order dated 13.9.2022.

The brief facts are that to settle the dispute between the parties, the matter was referred to the arbitrator. The proceedings culminated into award dated 29.6.2015. During the execution proceedings three applications under Order 21 Rule 37, Section 151 and Order 39 Rules 1 and 2 CPC were filed. The petitioner is judgment debtor No. 4. It was ordered that the judgment debtors shall remain present in court along with their passports and will not leave the country without permission of the court.

As per the office report, respondents No. 2 to 4 have not been served.

Learned counsel for the parties are *ad idem* that the contesting respondent is respondent No. 1 i.e. decree holder.

Learned counsel for respondent No. 1 on instructions submits that he has no objection if the impugned order is set aside, however direction is sought for conclusion of execution proceedings in a time bound manner.

In view of the statement made by learned counsel for respondent No. 1, the impugned order qua petitioner is set aside. The Executing Court shall proceed with the execution proceedings in accordance with law.

There is no doubt that with the co-operation of the parties, an endeavour would be made by Court concerned to decide the execution petition expeditiously.

The revision petition stands disposed of.

[AVNEESH JHINGAN]
JUDGE

17th February, 2023
mk

- | | | |
|-------------------------------|---|----------|
| 1. Whether speaking/ reasoned | : | Yes / No |
| 2. Whether reportable | : | Yes / No |