

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH****CWP-18855-2023**

Date of decision: 28.08.2023

INDERJIT SINGH

...Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS

...Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SURESHWAR THAKUR
HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANISHA BATRA**Present: Ms. Jaspreet Kaur, Advocate for
Mr. Gursharan Singh, Advocate for the petitioner.

Ms. Monika Jalota, Sr. DAG, Punjab

SURESHWAR THAKUR, J. (ORAL)

1. Though a statutory appeal is raised thus before the learned Appellate Authority concerned, hence against the makings of a verdict adversarial to the present petitioner, but it is averred in the petition, that the said statutory appeal is not being expeditiously decided by the competent Appellate Authority concerned. Therefore, a mandamus is asked to be made, upon the Appellate Authority concerned, to upon Annexure P-4 but within 3 months from today thus make a lawful speaking decision thereon.

2. Since the nature of the above asked for mandamus is innocuous, therefore, the instant petition is allowed. The competent Appellate Authority concerned, is directed to within 3 months from today, make a lawful speaking decision upon Annexure P-4, but after hearing all affected persons concerned.

**(SURESHWAR THAKUR)
JUDGE****28.08.2023**

Ithlesh

**(MANISHA BATRA)
JUDGE****Whether speaking/reasoned:-
Whether reportable:****Yes/No
Yes/No**