

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

210

CRM-M-44201-2022 (O&M)

Date of decision: 21.02.2023

SAJID

....Petitioner(s)

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA

...Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMAN CHAUDHARY

Present : Ms. Rosi, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Tanuj Sharma, AAG Haryana.

AMAN CHAUDHARY. J.

Present petition has been filed under Section 438 of the Code of Criminal Procedure for grant of anticipatory bail in FIR No.112 dated 10.04.2022, under Sections 279, 304-A, 337 of IPC (later added Sections 304, 120-B of IPC and Section 3/5 (17) of Haryana Gauvansh Sanrakshan and Gausamvardhan Act, 2015 and Sections 279, 304-A, 337 of IPC was deleted) registered at Police Station Sadar Palwal, District Palwal.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that in pursuance of order dated 16.11.2022, of this Court, the petitioner has not only joined investigation but has also fully cooperated with the investigating agency. She further submits that in case the investigating agency requires the petitioner to appear, he shall make himself available without demur.

Learned State counsel on instructions affirms the factum of joining the investigation by the petitioner and cooperating with the investigation agency. He also submits that at this stage, the petitioner is not required for further

custodial interrogation.

In view of the above and without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, anticipatory bail petition filed by the petitioner is allowed and the order dated 16.11.2022 granting interim bail to him, is hereby made absolute, subject to compliance of conditions as specified under Section 438(2) Cr. P.C

However, it is made clear that if the petitioner fails to join and cooperate with the investigation agency as and when required, the State would be at liberty to move an application for cancellation of the present anticipatory bail granted to him.

(AMAN CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE

February 21, 2023

M.Kamra

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No