

**IN THE PUNJAB AND HARYANA HIGH COURT AT
CHANDIGARH**

205

**CWP-9900-2017
Date of Decision: 14.12.2023**

GURPREET SINGH AND ANOTHER

..... Petitioners

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS

.... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VINOD S. BHARDWAJ

Present: Mr. Gaurav Kalsi, Advocate for
Mr. H.S. Baath, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms. Niharika Sharma, AAG, Punjab.

VINOD S. BHARDWAJ, J. (ORAL)

Prayer made in the present writ petition is for seeking issuance of directions to the respondents to release all due benefits arising out of the murder of the father of the petitioners by the terrorist on 08.09.1992 being victim of the terrorism including offering employment to one of the petitioners alongwith arrears of the pension to the petitioners till they attained the age of majority.

Pursuant to the order passed by this Court, reply by way of an additional affidavit of Rajesh Kumar Sharma, PCS, Sub Divisional Magistrate, Patti, District Tarn Taran has been filed in the Court today. A copy thereof has been furnished to the counsel for the petitioner. It has been averred in the said affidavit that subsistence allowance of Rs. 2500/- per month was payable w.e.f. 17.11.2003 and that the total amount of Rs.

616500/- has been released to the petitioners. Further, the respondent-State has already issued a letter to the competent authority for issuance of a Red Card to the petitioners and that the petitioners were also called upon by the Deputy Commissioner, Taran Taran for consideration for appointment to a member of the family. The petitioners have submitted an affidavit stating therein that they do not want to avail the clause of service on compassionate ground and the affidavits have been appended alongwith the present affidavit. Hence, the requisite benefits to which a victim is entitled to already stand released in terms of the policy notified by the State and insofar as the relief for seeking compassionate appointment is concerned, the petitioners are stated to have submitted an affidavit regarding their unwillingness to seek a Government employment.

Counsel for the petitioner pleads ignorance to the above fact.

Be that as it may, since the respondents have furnished the said documents on affidavit by the Sub Divisional Magistrate, the present petition is disposed of as having been rendered infructuous. Liberty is, however, granted to the petitioner to seek revival of the present petition in case the said averments are found to be factually incorrect.

DECEMBER 14, 2023
Vishal sharma

(VINOD S. BHARDWAJ)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No