

**207 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

FAO-6497-2016
Date of Decision: 17th March, 2023

Veena Kumari ... Appellant

Versus

Union of India and others ... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AVNEESH JHINGAN

Present: Mr. Paramjit Rajput, Advocate for the appellant.
Mr. R.S. Madan, Advocate for respondents No. 1 and 2.

AVNEESH JHINGAN, J.(Oral)

This appeal is filed under Section 37 of Arbitration and Conciliation Act, 1996 (for short 'the Act') aggrieved of order dated 9.1.2014 accepting the objections under Section 34 of the Act filed by Union of India(UOI)/National Highway Authority of India (NHAI) and remanding the matter back.

Learned counsel for the appellant realizing that the there are other matter already pending of the same village with the arbitrator, restricts his prayer that considering that acquisition is of the year 2004, arbitrator be directed to conclude the proceedings expeditiously.

In view of the restricted prayer by learned counsel for the appellant, the appeal is disposed of. There is no doubt that in case parties approach the arbitrator for expeditious disposal of the matter, the request would be considered in accordance with law keeping in view that the landowner was deprived of her land way back in the year 2004.

Since the main case has been disposed of, the pending application(s), if any is rendered infructuous.

**(AVNEESH JHINGAN)
JUDGE**

17th March, 2023
anuradha

Whether reasoned/speaking	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No