

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

215

CRM-M-44261-2022
Date of decision: 14.03.2023

BALRAM

....Petitioner(s)

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA

...Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMAN CHAUDHARY

Present : Mr. S. K. Tripathi, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Dhruv Sihag, AAG, Haryana.

AMAN CHAUDHARY. J.

The present petition has been filed under Section 439 Cr.P.C. for the grant of regular bail to the petitioner in respect of FIR No. 364 dated 21.10.2021 under Sections 302 and 34 IPC registered at Police Station Sector 31, District Faridabad.

Learned counsel contends that the petitioner has been in custody for the last 1 year 5 months having been arrested on 21.10.2021. There are a total of 20 prosecution witnesses out of which 4 have been examined, including the complainant, who has not supported the prosecution version and the two other who were independent witnesses have given up. He is not involved in any other case. The co-accused has been granted regular bail by this Court vide order dated 17.11.2022 in CRM-M-44437-2022 having been in custody for 1 year and 3 months.

Learned State counsel opposes the bail on the ground that the petitioner is alleged to have caused the fatal injury. However, he is unable to controvert the other submissions made by learned counsel for the petitioner with

regard to custody, stage of the trial, the petitioner being not involved in any other case, co-accused having been granted bail and the complainant has not supported the case of the prosecution.

Heard.

In view of the facts and circumstances of the case, in particular that the petitioner has been in custody for the last 1 year and 5 months, co-accused has been enlarged on bail by this Court; he is not involved in any other case; out of a total of 20 prosecution witnesses, only 4 have been examined including the complainant; the trial is likely to take a considerable time, his further detention behind bars would not serve any useful purpose, thus the present petition for grant of regular bail deserves to be allowed.

As a result, the present petition is allowed. The petitioner is ordered to be released on regular bail, subject to his furnishing bail/surety bonds to the satisfaction of trial Court/Duty Magistrate concerned and subject to him not being required in any other case. The petitioner shall abide by the following conditions:-

1. The petitioner will not tamper with the evidence during the trial.
2. The petitioner will not pressurize/ intimidate the prosecution witnesses.
3. The petitioner will appear before the trial Court on each and every date fixed, unless is exempted by a specific order of Court.
4. The petitioner shall not commit an offence similar to the offence of which, he is an accused, or for commission of which he is suspected of.
5. The petitioner shall not directly or indirectly coerce, induce, threaten or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade him/ her from disclosing such facts to the Court or to any police officer or tamper with the evidence in any manner.

- 6. The petitioner shall not in any manner misuse his liberty.
- 7. The petitioner shall furnish his address and mobile number to the Trial Court forthwith and shall not change the same till the conclusion of the trial and in case for any reason, the petitioner seeks to change any of the aforesaid, the same shall be done only with prior intimation to the learned Trial Court, stating the reason for the same.
- 8. The petitioner shall deposit his passport, if any, with the Trial Court forthwith and in case, he does not have the passport, he shall furnish a specific affidavit in this regard.

It is made clear that in case of any infraction of any of the aforesaid conditions, the State shall be at liberty to seek cancellation of bail as granted to the petitioner by this order.

In view of the above, this Court makes it clarified that the observations made herein above are limited for the purpose of present proceedings and would not be construed as any opinion on the merits of the case and the trial would proceed independently of the aforesaid observations.

(AMAN CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE

14.03.2023

Mehak

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No