

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

216

CRWP-9271-2022

Date of Decision: 25.01.2023

Rajesh @ Raje

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana & others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE GURVINDER SINGH GILL

Present: Mr. Vikas Kuthiala, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Gurmeet Singh, AAG, Haryana.

GURVINDER SINGH GILL, J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that although petitioner's wife had submitted a representation dated 01.09.2022 (Annexure P-2) for grant of parole to the petitioner on the ground that she was having serious ailments, but the same has not been decided till date.

Learned State counsel, on the other hand, has taken a stand that the said application could not have been accepted inasmuch as the petitioner has not undergone the mandatory period of 5 years after his last jail offence. It has further been informed that as of now the petitioner has undergone the requisite period of 5 years after his last jail offence.

In view of the aforestated position, the instant petition is disposed of with a direction to the authorities concerned to dispose of the representation dated 01.09.2022 (Annexure P-2), if not already decided, in accordance with law within a period of 4 weeks from today.

However, in case the said representation stands already decided, it shall be open to challenge such order before this Court and/or to move afresh before the authorities concerned.

25.01.2023

Vimal

(GURVINDER SINGH GILL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned: **Yes/No**
Whether reportable: **Yes/No**