

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CWP-18565-2023

Date of decision : 05.09.2023

Ashpreet Kaur

... Petitioner

Versus

Secretary Regional Transport Authority, Sangrur and another

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL

Present: Mr.P.S. Bawa, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Vikas Arora, AAG, Punjab
for the respondents.

VIKAS BAHL, J.(ORAL)

1. This is a civil writ petition filed under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India for issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus directing respondent no.1 to release stage carriage permit with 3 return trips on Dhuri Pind to Bhawanigarh via Dhura, Bhojowali, Palasour, Balwan, Kheri, Gehlan, Sakrandi, Kakra route as per the grant letter no.0075 dated 23.02.2021 (Annexure P-2).

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that for the grievances raised by the petitioner, the petitioner has given a representation dated 18.08.2023 (Annexure P-3) to respondent no.1 and at this stage, would be satisfied in case respondent no.1 considers the said representation in a time bound manner and if after considering the same, in case, the plea by the petitioner is found to be meritorious, then necessary relief be granted to the petitioner.

3. Learned State counsel has submitted that respondent no.1 would consider the representation and decide the same within a period of 4 weeks from the date of the receipt of certified copy of the present order.
4. Keeping in view the above said facts and circumstances, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent no.1 to consider the representation dated 18.08.2023 (Annexure P-3) of the petitioner and decide the same, in accordance with law, as expeditiously as possible, preferably within a period of 4 weeks from the date of the receipt of certified copy of the present order and in case, after considering the same, the plea of the petitioner is found to be meritorious, then necessary relief be granted to the petitioner and in case, the plea of the petitioner is not found to be meritorious, then a speaking order rejecting the same be passed within a period of 4 weeks from the date of the receipt of certified copy of the present order.
5. It is made clear that this Court has not opined on the merits of the case and respondent no.1 would consider and decide the representation independently, in accordance with law.

(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE

September 05, 2023

Davinder Kumar

Whether speaking / reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No