

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CWP-18577-2023

Date of decision : 05.09.2023

Manpreet Singh

... Petitioner

Versus

Regional Transport Authority, Ferozepur through its Secretary

... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL

Present: Mr.P.S. Bawa, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Vikas Arora, AAG, Punjab
for the respondent.

VIKAS BAHL, J.(ORAL)

1. This is a civil writ petition filed under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India for issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus directing the respondent to approve regular joint time table on Bathinda-Muktsar via Doda route.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that for the grievances raised by the petitioner, the petitioner has given a legal notice dated 20.02.2023 (Annexure P-2) to the respondent and at this stage, would be satisfied in case the respondent considers the said legal notice in a time bound manner and if after considering the same, in case, the plea by the petitioner is found to be meritorious, then necessary relief be granted to the petitioner.

3. Learned State counsel has submitted that the respondent would consider the legal notice and decide the same within a period of 4 weeks

from the date of the receipt of certified copy of the present order.

4. Keeping in view the above said facts and circumstances, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to the respondent to consider the legal notice dated 20.02.2023 (Annexure P-2) of the petitioner and decide the same, in accordance with law, as expeditiously as possible, preferably within a period of 4 weeks from the date of the receipt of certified copy of the present order and in case, after considering the same, the plea of the petitioner is found to be meritorious, then necessary relief be granted to the petitioner and in case, the plea of the petitioner is not found to be meritorious, then a speaking order rejecting the same be passed within a period of 4 weeks from the date of the receipt of certified copy of the present order.

5. It is made clear that this Court has not opined on the merits of the case and the respondent would consider and decide the legal notice independently, in accordance with law.

(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE

September 05, 2023
Davinder Kumar

Whether speaking / reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No