

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP No.35 of 2021 (O&M)
Date of Decision :14.12.2023

Ravindra and another

.....Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

..... Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE ARUN PALLI, JUDGE
HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE VIKRAM AGGARWAL, JUDGE**

Present : Mr. Chanderhas Yadav, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Deepak Bhardwaj, DAG, Haryana.

Mr. Amit Jaiswal, Advocate for respondents No.2 to 4.

ARUN PALLI, J. (Oral):

On 18.01.2023, a co-ordinate Bench had passed the following order:-

“Learned counsel for respondents No.2 to 4 submits that reply on behalf of respondents No.2 to 4 has been filed and he is ready for arguments. He further submits that the claim as has been made by the petitioners in the present writ petition is covered against them by the judgment of this Court in CWP No.19447 of 2021, titled as 'Sarika Gautam Vs. Haryana Shehri Vikas Pradhikaran (HSVP) and others', decided on 27.09.2021.

Learned counsel for the petitioners prays for an adjournment to go through the said judgment and assist the Court.

List for consideration on 02.08.2023.”

In response, learned counsel for the petitioner fairly submits that in the wake of the decision of this Court in Sarika Gautam (supra), nothing

substantive survives in the petition and the same be disposed of as having been rendered infructuous. However, he submits that the petitioners shall avail such other remedies as admissible in law to claim interest on the deposit made by them, though the said amount was subsequently refunded by the respondents-authority.

In the wake of the above, the petition is accordingly disposed of as having been rendered infructuous.

(ARUN PALLI)
JUDGE

(VIKRAM AGGARWAL)
JUDGE

14.12.2023
Manoj Bhutani

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No