

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

(224)

CWP-6950-2018
Date of decision: - 20.03.2023

Pal Singh
....Petitioner
Versus

State of Haryana and others
.....Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL

Present:- None for the petitioner.
Ms. Upasana Dhawan, AAG, Haryana.

VIKAS BAHL, J. (ORAL)

This writ petition has been filed under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India for issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus for direction to the respondents for deciding the application dated 07.01.2017 (Annexure P-2).

Learned State counsel has pointed out that the present petitioner is not the owner of the land as per the report dated 02.04.2019 (Annexure R-3/4) and it is only the owner of the land who could apply for cutting the trees as per notification dated 21.07.1975 (Annexure R-3/3).

Today, none has appeared on behalf of the petitioner to rebut the said contention.

None had appeared on behalf of the petitioner on 25.04.2019, 01.10.2019 and 08.07.2022.

Dismissed for non-prosecution.

Liberty is granted to the petitioner to move an application for restoration of the present petition or to file a fresh petition in case any cause survives.

March 20, 2023
naresh.k
(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned/speaking? Yes/No
Whether reportable? Yes/No