

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M No.44117 of 2023
Date of decision: 31st October, 2023

Paramjeet Kaur @ Paramjit Kaur

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANJARI NEHRU KAUL

Present: Mr. Mohit Shukla, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Mohit Kapoor, Addl. Advocate General, Punjab
for the respondent/State.

MANJARI NEHRU KAUL, J. (ORAL)

1. The petitioner is seeking the concession of bail under Section 438 Cr.P.C. in case FIR No.285 dated 26.12.2022 registered under Sections 323, 324, 506 and 34 IPC (Section 326 IPC added later on) at Police Station Sadar Samana, District Patiala.

2. On the last date of hearing, i.e. 04.09.2023, while noticing the following submissions made by learned counsel for the petitioner, this Court had granted the concession of interim bail to the petitioner and asked her to join investigation.

“Learned counsel contends that there is a dispute inter se the family members, with regard to partition of land. FIR was lodged after a delay of 03 days. It is a case of version and cross-version, which is reflected in the FIR and husband of the petitioner namely Gurdeep Singh had

received grievous injuries. The petitioner’s husband, Sukhwinder Singh and Subeg Singh, who are the accused in the cross-version, are real brothers. The petitioner is not involved in any other case. She is ready and willing to join the investigation as and when required by the investigating agency and will cooperate.”

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that in compliance of the order dated 04.09.2023, the petitioner has joined investigation and cooperated with the investigating agency.

4. Learned State counsel, on instructions, does not dispute the factum of the petitioner having joined investigation and cooperated with the investigating agency. He, on further instructions submits that the petitioner is not required for further investigation much less for her custodial interrogation.

5. In the circumstances, the petition is allowed and the interim order dated 04.09.2023 is made absolute subject to the conditions laid down in Section 438(2) Cr.P.C. Needless to say, in case the petitioner misuses the concession of bail granted to her, the State would be at liberty to seek cancellation of the bail granted to her.

(MANJARI NEHRU KAUL)
JUDGE

October 31, 2023
rps

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No