

251

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP No.6611 of 2018
Date of decision : 04.12.2023

Rohtash

..... Petitioner

Versus

Chief Canal officer, Panchkula and others

..... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH BHARDWAJ

Present: Mr. Nipun Verma, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Rajneesh Chadwal, AAG, Haryana.

Mr. Surjit, Advocate for
Mr. Vikram Singh, Advocate
for respondent No.4.

RAJESH BHARDWAJ, J. (Oral)

Present writ petition has been filed for quashing the order dated 18.06.2017 (Annexure P-3); order dated 30.08.2016 (Annexure P-2) and order dated 28.03.2006 (Annexure P-1) passed by respondents No.1 to 3 respectively and to reject the application for conversation of the land from UCA to CCA and for staying the operation of orders for implementation or not to implement the New Warabandi based on the aforesaid orders.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the order of Divisional Canal Officer has already been implemented and thus, the petitioner has not left with any grievance. He submits that hence, the

present petition is rendered infructuous and the same may be disposed of as such.

In view of the submission made by learned counsel for the petitioner, the present petition is disposed of as infructuous.

(RAJESH BHARDWAJ)
JUDGE

04.12.2023
rittu

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No