

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

(201)

CRM-M-42208-2023

Date of Decision : September 01, 2023

Paramjit Singh @ Kali

.. Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

.. Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. G.C. Shahpuri, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Saurabh Mohunta, Deputy Advocate General, Haryana.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI J. (ORAL)

1. Present petition has been filed under Section 439 Cr.P.C for the grant of regular bail to the petitioner in respect of FIR No.177 dated 15.06.2023 registered under Sections 323, 452, 506, 34 of the IPC (Section 326 IPC added later on) at Police Station Sector 17, HUDA, Jagadhri District Yamuna Nagar.
2. Learned counsel for the petitioner argues that in the present case, the investigation is already over and the challan has been submitted and three witnesses have already been examined, hence, as the trial is likely to take some time before it concludes, no useful purpose will be served in keeping the petitioner behind the bars during the entire period of trial.
3. Notice of motion.
4. Mr. Saurabh Mohunta, learned Deputy Advocate General, Haryana, who is present in the Court, accepts notice on behalf of respondent-State.

5. Learned State counsel submits that the allegations alleged against the petitioner are serious in nature as a grievous injury has been inflicted by him upon the victim hence, the prayer of the petitioner for the grant of regular bail may kindly be declined.

6. I have heard learned counsel for the parties and have gone through the record with their able assistance.

7. Keeping in view the fact that the investigation is already over and challan has already been presented and out of total eleven cited witnesses, three witnesses have already been examined and the trial is likely to take some time before it concludes as eight more witnesses are yet to be examined, no useful purpose will be served in keeping the petitioner behind the bars during the entire period of trial especially when learned counsel for the petitioner has undertaken before this Court that in case the petitioner is granted the concession of regular bail, he will not influence the trial or the witnesses in any manner and in case of default of the above undertaking, State will be at liberty to approach this Court for passing appropriate orders.

8. In view of the above and without commenting upon the merits of the case, it is directed that the petitioner be released on regular bail, subject to the satisfaction of the trial Court/Duty Magistrate concerned.

9. However, it is made clear that anything observed herein shall not be construed to be an expression of any opinion on the merits of the case.

September 01, 2023
harsha

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes
Whether reportable : No