

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

115

CRWP-8469-2023

Date of Decision: 28.08.2023

Goldy Singh and another

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS SURI

Present:- Mr. Ranjeet K.Jaswal, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

VIKAS SURI, J. (Oral)

This is a petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India seeking protection of life and liberty of the petitioners, who claim themselves to be major.

It is pleaded that *roka* ceremony of the petitioners was performed in the year 2021 with the consent and wishes of their families but thereafter, due to some misunderstanding in the family of petitioner No.2, they did not want the petitioners to get married. Petitioner No.2 has left her house and is now residing with petitioner No.1 in live-in relationship. They moved a joint representation dated 18.08.2023 (Annexure P-3) apprehending danger to their life and liberty at the hands of respondent Nos.4 to 7, to the Senior Superintendent of Police, Patiala -respondent No.2, but to no avail.

Notice of motion to respondent Nos.1 to 3 only at this stage.

Mr. Sanish Girdhar, AAG, Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of

the respondents-State and waives service.

Without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case or commenting thereon, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to the Senior Superintendent of Police, Patiala-respondent No.2, to consider the aforesaid representation dated 18.08.2023 (Annexure P-3) made by the petitioners and in case there is any threat perception to the petitioners from the side of private respondents, to act in accordance with law and if need be, to provide them interim protection.

It is made clear that this order shall not be taken to validate the alleged live-in relationship or protect the petitioners from legal action for violation of law, if any, committed by them.

August 28, 2023
harish

(VIKAS SURI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No