

2023:PHHC:131368
IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

(216)

CWP No. 8958 of 2017

Date of Decision : 10.10.2023

Sunder Lal

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and another

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Harkirat Singh, Advocate for
Mr. Vikram Singh, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Saurabh Mohunta, Deputy Advocate General, Haryana.

Harsimran Singh Sethi J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for the respondents submits that the impugned order dated 20.03.2017 (Annexure P-4) has already been withdrawn, which action of the department has rendered the present petition infructuous.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that though, he has no instructions from the petitioner qua the said fact but as the statement is being made by the learned counsel for the respondent-State, the same has to be accepted, hence, the present petition may kindly be disposed of having been not pressed in view of the statement of learned State counsel with liberty to the petitioner to revive the same in case, the said statement is later on found to be not correct.

Ordered accordingly.

October 10, 2023

kanchan

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)

JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No