

221 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CRR-1982-2022 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 21.12.2023

JITENDER SINGH

... Petitioner

Versus

JAI SINGH AND ANOTHER

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASJIT SINGH BEDI

Present: Mr. S.K. Yadav, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms. Deepika, Advocate for
Mr. Vikram Bishnoi, Advocate
for respondent No.1.

Mr. Kanwar Sanjiv Kumar, Asstt. A.G., Haryana
for respondent No.2.

JASJIT SINGH BEDI, J (Oral)

The present revision petition has been filed against the judgment dated 14.09.2022 passed by the Sessions Judge, Narnaul, vide which the appeal preferred by the petitioner against the judgment of conviction and order of sentence dated 31.01.2022/01.02.2022 passed by the learned Judicial Magistrate, 1st Class, Addl. Chief Judicial Magistrate, Narnaul, has been dismissed.

2. The brief facts of the case are that in discharge of his legal liability, the accused/petitioner issued a cheque bearing No.160216 for an amount of Rs.48,000/- in favour of respondent No.1-complainant which came to be dishonoured. Pursuant thereto, the accused/petitioner came to be summoned under the provisions of the 138 of the Negotiable Instruments

Act, 1881 vide order dated 31.01.2022 passed by the Addl. Chief Judicial Magistrate, Narnaul.

3. The evidence was led and ultimately, the accused was held guilty and accordingly, convicted for the offence punishable under Section 138 of the Negotiable Instruments Act, 1881 and sentenced to undergo rigorous imprisonment for a period of 01 year. The accused/petitioner was also ordered to pay an amount of Rs.4,00,000/- as compensation to respondent No.1-complainant. In default of payment of compensation, the accused/petitioner was to undergo simple imprisonment of 02 months.

4. Aggrieved against the said judgment of conviction and order of sentence, the accused preferred an appeal before the Sessions Judge, Narnaul, which came to be dismissed on 14.09.2022.

5. Still aggrieved, the present revision petition has been preferred by the accused. During the pendency of the present criminal revision petition, a compromise has been arrived at between the parties on 29.09.2022 (Annexure P-1). It would be relevant to mention here that a combined reading of Section 147 of the Negotiable Instruments Act alongwith Section 320 Cr.P.C. would establish that where a settlement has been effected, the offence under Section 138 of the Negotiable Instruments Act can be compounded on account of the fact that a mutual compromise has been effected between the parties.

6. The learned counsel for the complainant has accepted the factum of the compromise and has stated that he has no objection if the petitioner is acquitted of the charges framed against him.

7. I have heard the learned counsel for the parties.

8. This Court in 'Ramesh Chander Vs. State of Haryana and another, 2007(1) RCR (Criminal) 245' held as under:-

“4. As per the provisions of Section 147 of the Act, the offence under Section 138 is compoundable. Section 147 reads as under:-

“Offence to be compoundable-

Notwithstanding anything contained in the Criminal Procedure Code, 1973(2 of 1974), every offence punishable under this Act shall be compoundable”.

5. The compounding of the offence under Section 138 can be done during the trial of the case as well as by the High Court or Court of Session while acting in the exercise of its power of revision under Section 401 Criminal Procedure Code Reference may be made to Section 320(6) Criminal Procedure Code in this regard.

6. Further, under Section 320(8) Criminal Procedure Code the composition of an offence shall have the effect of acquittal of the accused with whom the offence has been compounded.”

9. This Court in 'Vatsa Electronics Vs. Pala Ram & Anr. decided on 09.03.2022 in CRR-1585-2019' has also held that once a settlement is being effected, then in terms of Section 147 of the Negotiable Instruments Act and Section 320 Cr.P.C., the accused ought to be acquitted as the offence stands compounded.

10. The admitted position is that the matter stands settled and the compromise/settlement between the parties dated 29.09.2022 (Annexure P-1) is already on record.

11. In view of the above, since, the parties have voluntarily settled the disputes between themselves, it is a fit case for allowing them to compound the offence.

12. Accordingly, the revision petition is allowed and the judgment dated 14.09.2022 passed by the Sessions Judge, Narnaul and the judgment of conviction and order of sentence dated 31.01.2022/01.02.2022 passed by the learned Judicial Magistrate, 1st Class, Addl. Chief Judicial Magistrate, Narnaul, are hereby set aside. The petitioner is acquitted of the charges under Section 138 of the Negotiable Instruments Act.

(JASJIT SINGH BEDI)
JUDGE

21.12.2023
JITESH

Whether speaking/reasoned:- Yes/No

Whether reportable:- Yes/No