

143+161
IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CM-19142-CWP-2023 in/and
CWP-20425-2023 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 08.11.2023

Raman Kant

Vs.
State of Punjab and others

..... Petitioner

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON’BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJEEV PRAKASH SHARMA

Present: Mr. Vikarm Brar, Advocate for
Mr. Shobit Phutela, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Paramjit Batta, Addl. A.G., Punjab.

SANJEEV PRAKASH SHARMA, J.(Oral)

1. The petitioner has filed this writ petition seeking regularization.
2. Learned counsel submits that during the pendency of the writ petition, the State has come out with a policy to regularize employees by placing them in a special cadre for which the petitioner submits that he is governed by the said policy and would submit his applications to the concerned authority for regularization under the said policy.
3. Learned counsel submits that in view of the said policy, he does not press the present writ petition, but prays that the respondents be directed to decide his application and consider him for regularization in terms of the said policy.

- 4. Learned counsel for the State/respondents submits that the policy has been issued and if the petitioner falls within the said policy, his candidature shall be considered.
- 5. Having noticed the conditions, this Court disposes of this writ petition as not pressed, with direction to the respondents to decide the application of the petitioner strictly in terms of the policy, and if the petitioner comes within the four corners of the said regularization policy, appropriate orders be passed at the earliest, within a period of two months.
- 6. Writ petition stands disposed of.
- 7. All pending applications also stand disposed of accordingly.

(SANJEEV PRAKASH SHARMA)
JUDGE

November 08, 2023

Mohit goyal

- | | |
|-------------------------------|--------|
| 1. Whether speaking/reasoned? | Yes/No |
| 2. Whether reportable? | Yes/No |