

CWP No. 18505 of 2023

2023:PHHC:110867

1

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

(116)

CWP No. 18505 of 2023
Date of Decision : 24.08.2023

Dushyant

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and another

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Naveen Kumar, Advocate,
Mr. Nalin Singhal, Advocate and
Mr. Arman Goyal, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Pankaj Middha, Additional Advocate General, Haryana.

Mr. Kanwal Goyal, Advocate and
Mr. Govind Tanwar, Advocate for respondent No. 2-HPSC.

Harsimran Singh Sethi J. (Oral)

1. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that in the present petition, the grievance of the petitioner is that he has not been called for interview for the post of Deputy Director (Project) (Group-A) as well as for the post of (Industrial Promotion) (Group-A), though, he is fully eligible for the said posts. Learned counsel for the petitioner further submits that the petitioner had already cleared the written test but still, he has not been called for the interview, which act of the respondents is totally arbitrary and illegal.

2. From the pleadings, it transpires that there were limited number of posts and the written test was conducted for shortlisting of the candidates. Keeping in view the limited number of posts, only the few candidates are to be shortlisted for the interview.

3. In case, the petitioner has any grievance with regard to the fact that he attained higher merit than the last candidate called for interview, the petitioner is free to approach the respondents by filing an appropriate representation.

4. Notice of motion.

5. On the asking of the Court, Mr. Pankaj Middha, learned Additional Advocate General, Haryana, who is present in Court, accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State and Mr. Kanwal Goyal, Advocate with Mr. Govind Tanwar, Advocate appears and accepts notice on behalf of respondent No. 2-HPSC.

6. Learned counsel for the respondent-Commission submits that in case, any representation is filed by the petitioner raising the grievance as raised in the present petition, the same will be decided by the appropriate authority within a period of seven days from the date of receipt of the same, by passing an appropriate speaking order.

7. At this stage, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has already filed an application dated 27.07.2023 (Annexure P-10) under RTI to seek the reasons for not calling him for interview.

8. Learned counsel for the respondent-Commission submits that within a period of next seven days, appropriate reply to the application dated 27.07.2023 (Annexure P-1) filed by the petitioner under RTI will be given to him qua the information sought.

9. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that keeping in view the said statement of learned counsel for the respondent-Commission, the present petition may kindly be disposed of having been not pressed any further.

10. Ordered accordingly.

August 24, 2023
kanchan

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No