

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CWP-5822-2018

Date of decision : 13.03.2023

Gurdip Singh

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL

Present: None for the petitioner.

Mr.Rohit Ahuja, DAG, Punjab
for respondents no.1 to 5.

Mr.Parminder Singh, Advocate for
Mr. Vivek Chauhan, Advocate
for respondent no.6.

VIKAS BAHL, J.(ORAL)

This is a civil writ petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India for issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus directing respondent no.4 to take appropriate legal action, in accordance with law, against respondent no.6 who is the Ex-sapanch.

Learned State counsel and respondent no.6 have submitted that in the present case, action in pursuance of the complaint given by the petitioner was taken against respondent no.6 and the assessment order was passed on 28.11.2014 and the same was challenged by respondent no.6 before BDPO which was rejected on 26.07.2017 and the revision against the said order was also dismissed and thereafter respondent no.6 had filed a writ petition i.e., CWP-25752-2022 in which notice of motion was issued and

the recovery of the amount has been stayed. It is further submitted that in view of the above said facts, the present petition has been rendered infructuous.

No one has appeared on behalf of the petitioner to rebut the said facts. Even on 05.07.2022, none had appeared on behalf of the petitioner.

In view of the above, the present petition is disposed of as having been rendered infructuous.

Liberty is granted to the petitioner to move an application to revive the same in case any cause survives.

(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE

March 13, 2023
Davinder Kumar

Whether speaking / reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No