

134

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

COCp-2173-2022 (O&M)
Date of decision: 10.01.2023

Mahak

... Petitioner

Vs.

Parth Gupta

.. Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN

Present: Mr. Chahat Goyal, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Pawan Kumar Longia, DAG, Haryana.

ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN, J. (ORAL)

The petitioner alleges violation of the order dated 04.12.2018, vide which a direction was issued that claim of the candidates will be considered for appointment as per the qualification prescribed in the statutory rules governing the services in UHBVNL and DHBVNL.

Learned State counsel has raised an objection that the petitioner was not a party in the writ petition and now he has filed CWP-19089-2022, praying for same relief, which has been granted in CWP-6454-2018 and till date, there is no specific direction to consider claim of the petitioner.

In view of the above, present petition is rendered as infructuous.

Payment of costs is dispensed with.

**[ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN]
JUDGE**

10.01.2023
vishnu

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No