

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND
HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH**

**COCp-206-2021 (O&M)
Date of decision: 28.03.2023**

Cyemptive Technologies India Pvt. Ltd.

...Petitioner

Versus

Satinder Singh and another

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN

Present:- Ms. Savita Bhandari, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Teevar Sharma, AAG, Punjab.

ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN, J. (Oral)

The petitioner alleges violation of the order dated 26.04.2019, passed by the Addl. Chief Judicial Magistrate, SAS Nagar, vide which, while considering the cancellation report submitted by the police, re-investigation was ordered and S.S.P, SAS Nagar was directed to keep a watch on the investigation.

As per affidavit, filed by the S.S.P., SAS Nagar, dated 27.02.2021, it is stated that the re-investigation was carried out after associating Director of the company Parminder Singh Ubhi and Director (Operation) Rajbir Singh and the statement of other witnesses was also recorded under Section 161 Cr.P.C. It is further stated that during investigation, it came to the notice that under Clause 8.3 of the Contract Research and Development Agreement dated 02.12.2014, the parties were conferred the status of independent contractors and no cheating was made

out, therefore, the order of the Addl. Chief Judicial Magistrate, SAS Nagar has been duly complied with.

In view of the above, the present petition is rendered infructuous.

Rule stands discharged.

The petitioner is granted liberty to approach the concerned Court for redressal of its grievances, if any.

28.03.2023
Waseem Ansari

(ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN)
JUDGE

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether reportable</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>