

RUBAL SINGH

...PETITIONER

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB

...RESPONDENT

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIVEK PURI

Present: Mr. Ashdeep Singh, Advocate for
Mr. Mikhail Kaid, Advocate for the petitioner.

Ms. Ruchika Sabherwal, DAG, Punjab.

VIVEK PURI,J. (ORAL)

1. Status report by way of affidavit of Pritpal Singh, PPS, Deputy Superintendent of Police, Sub-Division Sardulgarh, District Mansa on behalf of the respondent-State has been filed and the same is taken on record.
2. On 22.08.2023, the following order was passed by the Co-ordinate Bench of this Court:-

“The petitioner herein seeks the relief of pre-arrest/anticipatory bail in the criminal case arise out of the FIR bearing No. 55 dated 04.05.2023 registered at Police Station Sardulgarh, District Mansa, under Sections 498-A and 406 IPC, wherein the offence under Section 120-B IPC is stated to have been added later on.

Learned counsel for the petitioner, inter-alia, contends that the petitioner happens to be the brother-in-law (elder brother of the husband) of the complainant and he has been got falsely implicated in the criminal case under reference due to some matrimonial discord between the complainant-wife and her husband whereas in fact, he had no role to play in the alleged crime and moreover, he is ready to join in the investigation as and when so required and is also not involved in any other criminal case.

Notice of motion.

Mr. Iqbal Singh Mann, learned Deputy Advocate

General, Punjab, who has appeared in this case in pursuance of the copy of the instant petition having been sent to the respondent-State in advance, accepts the notice. He seeks some time to get proper and complete instructions in the present matter from the quarter concerned and if deemed necessary, then to file the status-report also.

Adjourned to 05.12.2023.

Meanwhile, the petitioner shall join in the investigation and in the event of his arrest, he shall be released on interim bail subject to his furnishing the requisite personal and surety bonds to the satisfaction of the Arresting/Investigating Officer.

However, the petitioner shall continue to join in the investigation as and when required/called upon to do so and shall also strictly abide by all the conditions as laid down in Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.”

- 3. Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the petitioner has joined the investigation in pursuance of interim directions.
- 4. On instructions from ASI Karnail Singh, learned State counsel submits has not disputed the aforesaid factual aspects and also submits that the petitioner has joined the investigation and his custodial interrogation is not required.
- 5. In view of the aforesaid submissions, the order dated 22.08.2023, granting interim bail to the petitioner is made absolute.
- 6. The petition is allowed.

05.12.2023
renubala

(VIVEK PURI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No
Whether reportable: Yes/No