

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

118-2.

CRM-M-171-2021

Date of Decision:20.12.2023

SURJIT SINGH

...PETITIONER

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS

...RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUVIR SEHGAL

Present: Mr. Lupil Gupta, Advocate for the petitioner.

Ms. Swati Batra, DAG, Punjab.

Mr. Sandeep Godara, Advocate for respondents No.6 and 7.

Mr. A.P.S. Rehan, Advocate for respondent No.10.

Mr. P.K.S. Phoolka, Advocate for respondent No.11.

SUVIR SEHGAL, J.(ORAL)

1. By way of present petition filed under Section 482, Cr.P.C., petitioner has, *inter alia*, sought a direction for constituting a Special Investigation Team or to hand over the matter to the Central Bureau of Investigation to conduct a probe into the death of Jeeto Kaur, adoption of Pushpinderpal Kaur and execution of various documents.

2. Upon issuing of notice, State has filed a status report by way of affidavit of Deputy Superintendent of Police, Sub Division Maur, District Bathinda, wherein it has been *inter alia* submitted as under:-

“4. That from the enquiry proceedings as conducted by the enquiry officer, it was ascertained by him that there are plenty of evidences verifying the fact that respondent No.6 was residing with Jeeto Kaur alias Jeet Kaur alias

Surjit Kaur, as her daughter and there is no evidence regarding the alleged kidnap or murder of Jeeto Kaur alias Jeet Kaur alias Surjit Kaur nor does (sic) the petitioner has produced any such evidence, from which the allegations as levelled by the petitioner could be verified.

5. That from the enquiry report of the enquiry officer, statement of parties & respectable persons of the society and as per the evidences/documents presented before the enquiry officer, it was found that the petitioner has filed the above mentioned application against the respondents No.6 and 7 leveling serious allegations and that too without adhering/presenting any strict proof and during the enquiry no such evidence came into light, which could prove such allegation, as correct. However, the petitioner through the instant petition wants to put pressure upon the answering respondents by levelling false allegations, to force the answering respondents to act per the Will of the petitioner, to which answering respondents are not duty bound and otherwise petitioner is also not legally entitled for.”

3. In view of the above development, there is no merit in the petition, which is hereby dismissed.

20.12.2023
sheetal

(SUVIR SEHGAL)
JUDGE

Whether Speaking/Reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No