

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M No.41430 of 2023
Date of decision: 30th November, 2023

Rohit

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANJARI NEHRU KAUL

Present: Mr. Arun K. Gupta, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Rahul Mohan, Sr. Dy. Advocate General, Haryana
for the respondent/State.

MANJARI NEHRU KAUL, J. (ORAL)

1. The petitioner is seeking the concession of bail under Section 438 Cr.P.C. in case FIR No.60 dated 02.02.2022 under Sections 419, 420, 467, 468, 471 and 120-B of the IPC and Section 3 of the Haryana Public Examination Act, 2021 registered at Police Station Sector-5, Panchkula, District Panchkula.

2. On 23.08.2023, while noticing the following submissions made by learned counsel for the petitioner, this Court had granted the concession of interim bail to the petitioner and asked him to join investigation.

“Learned counsel for the petitioner inter alia contends that false allegations have been levelled against the petitioner as admittedly the petitioner had cleared his written examination and thus there was no occasion for him to have got himself impersonated as it would have spoiled his career. Learned counsel submits that though the

custodial interrogation of the petitioner is not required, however, he is still willing to join investigation and cooperate with the investigating agency.”

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that in compliance of the order dated 23.08.2023, the petitioner has joined investigation and cooperated with the investigating agency.

4. Learned State counsel, on instructions from ASI Satish, does not dispute the factum of the petitioner having joined investigation and cooperated with the investigating agency. He, on further instructions submits that the petitioner is not required for further investigation much less for his custodial interrogation.

5. In the circumstances, the petition is allowed and the interim order dated 23.08.2023 is made absolute subject to the conditions laid down in Section 438(2) Cr.P.C. Needless to say, in case the petitioner misuses the concession of bail granted to him, the State would be at liberty to seek cancellation of the bail granted to him.

(MANJARI NEHRU KAUL)
JUDGE

November 30, 2023

rps

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No