

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

(126)

CWP-18215-2023

Date of decision: - 21.08.2023

Karanveer Pratap Singh Mandher**....Petitioner****Versus****Panjab University and another****.....Respondents****CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL**

Present:- Mr. Shivam Grover, Advocate
for the petitioner.

VIKAS BAHL, J. (ORAL)

1. This writ petition has been filed under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India for issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus for directing the respondents to allow the petitioner to sit for the exams of 4th Semester in B.Com LL.B. (Hons.) and condone the shortage of attendance of lectures of the petitioner.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that there is a shortage of 187 lectures of the petitioner, but the compelling reasons for the shortage of lectures has been mentioned in the letter/representation (Annexure P-3) written to respondent No.2 and has submitted that the petitioner would be satisfied in case respondent No.2 considers the said letter/representation (Annexure P-3) in a time bound manner and in case the pleas raised by the petitioner are found to be meritorious, then, to grant him the appropriate relief. It is further submitted that exams of 4th

Semester have started on 07.08.2023 and now the next exam is scheduled for 25.08.2023 and has prayed that respondent No.2 be directed to consider the said letter/representation (Annexure P-3) within a period of three days from the date of receipt of the copy of the present order.

3. Keeping in view the above-said facts and circumstances, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2 to consider the said letter/representation (Annexure P-3) within a period of three days from the date of receipt of copy of the present order and in case the respondent No.2 is of the view that the pleas raised by the petitioner are meritorious, then, the necessary relief be also granted to the petitioner

4. It is made clear that this Court has not opined on the merits of the case and respondent No.2 would consider the said letter/representation independently, in accordance with law.

August 21, 2023
naresh.k

(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned/speaking?	Yes
Whether reportable?	No