

**204 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH****CRM-M No. 41806 of 2023 (O&M)
Date of decision : 20.11.2023**

Bholi Petitioner

versus

State of Haryana Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE PANKAJ JAIN*******Present :- Mr. Barjinder Singh, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Ramesh Kumar Ambavta, AAG, Haryana.

*********PANKAJ JAIN, J. (ORAL)**

1 On 24.08.2023 the following order was passed:-

“Apprehending her arrest in FIR No.115 dated 16.04.2023, registered under Sections 380, 420 IPC and Section 411, 120-B IPC (added later on) at Police Station Sector 5, District Panchkula, the petitioner has preferred this petition under Section 438 Cr.P.C. seeking pre-arrest bail.

Inter alia submits that the petitioner was not apprehended from the spot and apart therefrom the co-accused already stands admitted to regular bail.

Notice of motion.

On the asking of the Court, Mr. Gaurav Bansal, D.A.G., Haryana accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State.

Adjourned to 20.11.2023.

In the meantime, in the event of arrest, the petitioner shall be released on interim bail subject to her furnishing personal and surety bonds to the satisfaction of the Arresting Officer/Investigating Officer. As and when called, the petitioner shall join the investigation. She shall abide by the conditions enumerated under Section 438(2) of the Cr.P.C.”

2 Learned State counsel on instructions from ASI Gurbachan Singh has stated that pursuant to the order dated 24.08.2023 the petitioner has joined investigation and is no longer required for custodial interrogation.

3 In view of above, the interim order dated 24.08.2023 passed by this Court is made absolute, subject to the conditions as enumerated under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.

4 This order should not be treated as "blanket" order. It will not be read granting petitioner indefinite protection from arrest. It shall be confined to the FIR mentioned *ibid* and will not operate in respect of any other incident that involves commission of an offence.

5 This order does not in any manner limit or restrict the rights or duties of the police or investigating agency, to investigate into the charges against the petitioner.

6 The petitioner shall be deemed to be in custody for the purpose of Section 27 of the Evidence Act, 1872 in regard to a discovery of facts made in pursuance of information supplied by the petitioner in case the occasion arises.

7 It will be open to the police or the investigating agency to move this court for a direction under Section 439 (2) Cr.P.C. to arrest the accused, in the event of violation of any term, such as absconding, non-cooperating during investigation, evasion, intimidation or inducement to witnesses with a view to influence outcome of the investigation or trial.

8 Petition stands disposed off.

9 Needless to say that anything observed herein shall not be construed to be an opinion on the merits of the case.

(PANKAJ JAIN)
JUDGE

20.11.2023
Pooja sharma-I

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes
Whether Reportable :	No