

2023:PHHC:074188
IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH
CRM-M-42947-2022
Date of decision: 22nd May, 2023

Sanjeev Malik @ Sanjeev Kumar @ Sanju @ Tinda

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

...Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AVNEESH JHINGAN

Present: None for the petitioner.
Mr. Iqbal Singh Mann, DAG, Punjab.

AVNEESH JHINGAN, J (Oral):

1. *Inspite* of two pass overs, none has appeared on behalf of the petitioner.
2. On the last two dates, the case was being adjourned either due to non-presence of the learned counsel for the petitioner or on his request.
3. Learned State counsel on instructions submits that prosecution evidence is complete.
4. Considering that recovery was of commercial quantity of narcotic substances from the petitioner and the trial is at an advance stage, the petition is disposed of at this stage with liberty to the petitioner to approach the Court concerned for expeditious conclusion of the trial.
5. There is no doubt that in eventuality of the petitioner approaching the Court concerned for expeditious conclusion of the trial, the same would be taken in accordance with law.
6. Since the main case has been disposed of, pending application, if any is rendered infructuous.

**[AVNEESH JHINGAN]
JUDGE**

22nd May, 2023
Parveen Sharma

- | | | |
|-------------------------------|---|----------|
| 1. Whether speaking/ reasoned | : | Yes / No |
| 2. Whether reportable | : | Yes / No |