

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-41503-2023 (O&M)
Date of decision : 30.10.2023**

Inderjit Singh @ Inder	versus	 Petitioner
State of Punjab		 Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASJIT SINGH BEDI

Present :- Mr. Rakesh Kumar, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Ms. Ramta K. Choudhary, Deputy Advocate General,
Punjab.

JASJIT SINGH BEDI, J. (ORAL)

On 23.08.2023, the following order was passed:-

“The prayer in the present petition under Section 482 Cr.PC is for quashing of the order dated 10.11.2022 (Annexure P-5) and order dated 19.07.2023 (Annexure P-6) in case FIR No.162 dated 06.08.2019 under Sections 21, 22 of the NDPS Act registered at Police Station Kotwali, Kapurthala vide which proclamation had been issued against the petitioner.

The learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the petitioner had been granted bail on 06.04.2021 and was regularly appearing before the Trial Court. However, on account of registration of the another FIR, he was unable to appear 10.11.2022. Subsequently, leading to the issuance of the impugned orders (Annexure P-5 and P-6). He states that the petitioner is ready and willing to join the proceedings once again.

Notice of motion for 30.10.2023.

In the meanwhile, the petitioner is directed to surrender before the Trial Court within a period of one week from today and subject to a deposit of Rs.50,000/- as costs with the Association for Children with Type 1 Diabetes, SCO 24, Sector 23-C, Chandigarh, he shall be admitted to interim bail to the satisfaction of the trial Court.

The learned counsel for the petitioner shall produce the receipt regarding deposit of Rs.50,000/- with the aforesaid Association as well as a copy of the order granting interim bail to the petitioner on the next date of hearing.”

Vide order dated 01.09.2023 passed in CRM-36735-2023, the aforesaid order was modified and the petitioner was directed to deposit Rs. 25,000/- instead of Rs. 50,000/-.

The Counsel for the petitioner contends that in compliance of the aforementioned order the petitioner has surrendered and has since been granted the concession of interim bail. He has also deposited the costs as imposed by this Court vide aforesaid orders.

In view of the above, no further orders are required to be passed by this Court.

Disposed of.

However, it is made clear that the petitioner shall continue to appear before the Trial Court and shall not absent himself without any sufficient cause.

(JASJIT SINGH BEDI)
JUDGE

30.10.2023

VS

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether Reportable :

Yes/ No
Yes/ No