

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

213 CRM-M-41204-2023 (O&M)
Date of decision: 25.08.2023

Pinki ...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana ...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS SURI

Present: Ms. Amrita Garg, Advocate for the petitioner.
Mr. Pawan Jhanda, DAG, Haryana.

FIR No.	Dated	Police Station	Section/s
498	14.08.2021	Azad Nagar, Hisar	201, 302, 450, 449, 404 and 34 IPC

VIKAS SURI, J. (Oral)

1. Prayer in the present petition filed under Section 439 Cr.P.C. is for grant of regular bail to the petitioner in the above-captioned FIR.
2. On advance notice, Mr. Pawan Jhanda, DAG, Haryana appears on behalf of the respondent-State and submits that out of 22 prosecution witnesses cited, 20 have already been examined and the trial is likely to conclude in near future.
3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that in view of the submissions of learned State counsel noticed hereinabove, she does not press the present petition for grant of regular bail at this stage and

prays that a direction may be issued to conclude the trial in a time bound manner.

4. Learned State counsel does not oppose the limited prayer made on behalf of the petitioner noticed above.

5. In light of the above and keeping in view the totality of the circumstances, the present petition is dismissed being not pressed with direction to the trial Court to conclude the trial expeditiously, preferably within a period of four months from the date of receipt of copy of this order.

August 25, 2023
Anjal / sumit.k

(VIKAS SURJ)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes / No
Whether Reportable :	Yes / No