

2023:PHHC:126600

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

245

CRWP-8148-2023

Date of decision : 14.09.2023

Smriti Thakur @ Khushnasib and another

..... Petitioners

versus

State of Haryana and others

..... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PANKAJ JAIN

Present: Mr. Rakesh Bakshi, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Ramesh Kumar Ambavta, AAG, Haryana.

PANKAJ JAIN, J. (Oral)

1. Short reply by way of affidavit of Parmod Kumar, HPS, Deputy Superintendent of Police, Yamuna Nagar on behalf of respondents No.2 and 3-State has been filed. As per the same, it has been claimed as under:

“2. That after receipt of notice of present petition, the same was marked to SI Dal Singh. In compliance of directions of the Hon'ble Court, SI Dal Singh went at address of petitioners village Ariayanwala, P.S. Partap Nagar, Distt. Yamuna Nagar, where petitioners were found present and they got recorded their statements. The petitioner no. 1 stated that she was in love with petitioner no. 2 and now she has performed marriage with petitioner no. 2 without any coercion, as per her wish. Now she has no threat to her life and liberty and she has no need of police protection. The petitioner no. 2 also stated that he was in love with

2023:PHHC:126600

petitioner no. 1 and now he has performed marriage with petitioner no. 1 as per his wish. He has no threat from anyone, so he has no need of police protection. The true translated copies of statements of petitioners are enclosed herewith as **Annexure R-1 and R-2.**

3. That SI Dal Singh also contacted the private respondent Sumitra and she got recorded her statement that petitioner no.1 is her daughter and on 15-08-2023, her daughter had left the house and now she has performed marriage with Ashik (petitioner No. 2). She and her brother Babu Ram has no objection with their marriage. Babu Ram is labourer and he has no mobile phone. The said private respondent also assured that they will not harass the petitioners for their marriage. The true translated copy of statement of respondent - Sumitra Devi is enclosed herewith as **Annexure R-3.**

4. That in view of submissions made above, it is submitted that petitioners have performed their marriage as per their wish and will and now they have no threat at the hands of private respondents. The private respondent Sumitra has also stated that she and her brother Babu Ram have no objection with the marriage of petitioners and they will not cause any harassment to them for their marriage. As such petitioners have no need of police protection, however, they have been assured that they could contact the local police, if they need any police protection. As such the present petition has no force and merits and the same is liable to be dismissed.”

2. In view of above, present petition has been rendered infructuous.

3. Ordered accordingly.

(PANKAJ JAIN)
JUDGE

14.09.2023

Dinesh

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes

Whether Reportable : No