

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

Date of Decision: 16.08.2023

204 (1)

CRM-M-42949-2022 (O&M)

Kanta Alaria

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

...Respondent

204 (2)

CRM-M-42974-2022 (O&M)

Mahant Ramesh Nath @ Baba Ramesh Nath

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS SURI

Present:- Mr. Anuj Malik, Advocate, for
Mr. S.K. Pandey, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Pawan Jhanda, D.A.G., Haryana.

Mr. J.S. Lalli, Advocate, for
Mr. M.S. Sidhu, Advocate, for the complainant.

FIR No.	Dated	Police Station	Section/s
323	16.09.2021	IMT, Rohtak	120-B, 153-A, 323, 406, 420, 467, 468, 471, 505, 506 IPC

VIKAS SURI, J. (Oral)

1. By this order, I propose to dispose of CRM-M-42949-2022 and CRM-M-42974-2022 as both these petitions filed under Section 438 Cr.P.C. for grant of anticipatory bail, pertain to the above-captioned FIR.

2. Upon notice and after hearing learned counsel for the State, the petitioners were directed to join investigation and were granted interim anticipatory bail vide order dated 22.11.2022.
3. Learned State counsel, on instructions from ASI Rajesh Kumar Police Station IMT, Rohtak, submits that in pursuance to order dated 22.11.2022 passed by this Court, petitioners have joined the investigation and are no longer required for custodial interrogation.
4. In view of the above facts but without commenting on the merits of the case, the present petition is allowed and the order dated 22.11.2022 granting interim anticipatory bail to the petitioners is made absolute, subject to the conditions laid down in Section 438(2) of the Cr.P.C.

August 16, 2023
Harish

(VIKAS SURI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No