**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH**

**155**

*********

**CWP No. 18248 of 2023**
**Date of Decision : 21.8.2023**

*Poonam Rani* ..... *Petitioner*

*versus*

*State of Haryana and another* ..... *Respondents*

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE TRIBHUVAN DAHIYA**

Present: Mr. Kamal Kant, Advocate, for the petitioner

**---**

**TRIBHUVAN DAHIYA J. (ORAL):**

This petition has been filed seeking a writ of *certiorari* quashing the order dated 5.4.2023 (Annexure P-12), and writ of *mandamus* directing the respondents to re-calculate petitioner's marks in written examination conducted by the respondents for the post of PGT-Mathematics.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the impugned order is non-speaking, and the petitioner has wrongly been denied the appointment for the post in question in BC-A category. She duly participated in the selection process and as per detailed result, dated 27.10.2022 (Annexure P-6), scored eighty marks in written examination and ten marks in interview, i.e., total ninety marks. She has been a brilliant student throughout and the marks awarded to her need to be re-calculated keeping in view her track record.

3. As apparent on record, the petitioner was not selected because the total marks obtained by her were less than those of the last selected

ASHWANI KUMAR
2023.08.31 10:27
I attest to the accuracy and
integrity of this document

candidate in BC-A category, i.e., 98 marks. The interview marks were awarded as per the criteria adopted by the Commission. The impugned order, dated 5.4.2023, has been passed on this basis, clearly stating that due to scoring less marks the petitioner could not be appointed. Merely because she has been a brilliant student, it cannot be said that marks awarded to her were wrong. Her merit has been considered viz-a-viz other candidates in a process of selection which has not been imputed on the basis of any tangible material, nor any arbitrariness or illegality could be pointed out about it. Therefore, no case to order re-calculation of marks is made out.

4. In view thereof, there is no ground to interfere with the order, dated 5.4.2023, passed by the Commission.

5. Dismissed.

**(TRIBHUVAN DAHIYA)**
**JUDGE**

**21.8.2023**
*Ashwani*

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No
Whether reportable: Yes/No

ASHWANI KUMAR
2023.08.31 10:27
I attest to the accuracy and
integrity of this document