

130+281

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-5052-2023 in/and
CRM-M-43150-2022
Date of Decision: 06.02.2023

Ishwar and others

....Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and another

....Respondents

CORAM:HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANOOP CHITKARA

Present: Mr. Rahul Bhargava, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Manish Bansal, DAG, Haryana.

Mr. Sarafraj Anjum Mor, Advocate
for the complainant/respondent.

ANOOP CHITKARA, J.

CRM-5052-2023

Prayer in the present application is for placing on record
Annexures R-1 to R-8.

For the reasons mentioned in the application, same is
allowed. Annexures R-1 to R-8, are taken on record subject to all just
exceptions.

CRM-M-43150-2022

The petitioner's counsel submits that the 07 other aggrieved
persons were infact never the aggrieved persons and they have no locus
standi to oppose the compromise between petitioners and second
respondent. However, the learned counsel appearing for such 07 persons
submits that although they were also complainants and they have also
been boycotted by the petitioner and his accomplices.

Given the specific objections by the 07 other persons through their counsel that they were aggrieved, this Court cannot go into nitty-gritties of the compromise that whether compromise has been effected between all the aggrieved persons or not. However, given the fact, it is permissible for the petitioner to file an application before the Mediation Centre of Jind and in case such application is filed, the concerned Incharge of the Mediation Centre shall appoint a Mediator who shall call upon the parties to explore the possibility of compromise.

Present petition stands disposed off.

06.02.2023
ps-I

(ANOOP CHITKARA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable?

Yes/no
Yes/no