

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M No.47199 of 2022

Date of decision: 27th February, 2023

Shobha Singh & others

... Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana & another

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANJARI NEHRU KAUL

Present: Mr. G.S. Sandhu, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Rahul Mohan, Dy. Advocate General, Haryana
for respondent No.1/State.

Mr. Amit Kumar, Advocate for
Mr. Dinesh Maurya, Advocate for respondent No.2.

MANJARI NEHRU KAUL, J. (ORAL)

The instant petition has been filed under Section 482 Cr.P.C. for quashing FIR No.598 dated 17.07.2018 (Annexure P-1) under Sections 148, 149, 285, 323, 341, 342, 506 IPC and Section 25/54/59 of the Arms Act, 1959 registered at Police Station Assandh, District Karnal along with all consequential proceedings arising therefrom on the basis of compromise dated 21.10.2021 (Annexure P-2) effected between the parties.

Vide order dated 10.11.2021 of this Court, the parties were directed to appear before the learned trial Court/Illaq Magistrate to get their statements recorded regarding the compromise arrived at, between them.

Report has since been received from learned Sub Divisional Judicial Magistrate, Assandh, in pursuance of the direction of this

Court, wherein, the factum of the compromise arrived at between the parties stands verified and confirmed. As per the report, compromise has indeed been effected between the parties and the same is without any pressure or coercion and out of their free will and the complainant has also made statement to the effect that she would have no objection if the FIR qua the accused-petitioners is quashed.

The trial Court has annexed the statements of the parties in original, alongwith its report.

Learned State counsel too submits that there are no other accused other than the petitioners and respondent No.2 is the only aggrieved person in the FIR in question.

In view of the report of the learned Sub Divisional Judicial Magistrate, Assandh and the principles laid down by the Apex Court in '**Gian Singh Vs. State of Punjab and others**' (2012) 10 SCC 303, and also by the Full Bench of this Court in '**Kulwinder Singh and others v. State of Punjab and another**' 2007 (3) RCR (Criminal) 1052, the instant petition is allowed. The aforesaid FIR and all consequential proceedings arising out of it, are quashed.

Needless to say, the parties shall remain bound by the terms of compromise and their statements recorded before the Court below.

(MANJARI NEHRU KAUL)
JUDGE

February 27, 2023

rps

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No