

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**CWP-18032-2023(O&M)
Date of decision: 01.12.2023**

Mohinder Singh and others

... Petitioners

Versus

Union Territory of Chandigarh and another

... Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN PALLI
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKRAM AGGARWAL**

Present: Mr. Ankit Chowdhri, Advocate, and
Mr. Sachit Khurana, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Maheshinder Singh Sidhu, Advocate, and
Mr. Prateek Rathee, Advocate, for the respondents.

ARUN PALLI, J. (Oral)

The petitioners herein are the allottees of booths/shops at Motor Market, Sector 38 (West), Chandigarh, on lease hold basis, and have prayed for the following substantive relief:

**“Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India
for issuance of writ in the nature of mandamus, directing
the respondents the pass appropriate orders on the
representation given by the petitioners date 24.11.2022
(Annexure P-5) in a time bound manner.”**

Learned counsel for the petitioners, at the outset, submits that prior to the institution of this petition, the petitioners had even served the respondent administration a notice/representation dated 24.11.2022 (P-5) as regards their concerns/grievances. But to no avail.

Served with the advance copy of the petition, Mr. Maheshinder Singh Sidhu, Advocate, on behalf of the respondents, is present in Court. He submits that let this petition be disposed of, at this stage, to enable the competent authority, who is in seisin of the representation (**ibid**) submitted by the petitioners, to deal with their concerns/grievances. And pass the necessary orders, in accordance with law. He further submits that if necessary, the petitioners shall also be afforded an opportunity of hearing, and the required orders shall be passed within a period of three months from today.

Learned counsel for the petitioner is in agreement with the course suggested by learned counsel for the respondents and submits that let this petition be disposed of in terms of the statement made by him.

The petition is, accordingly, disposed of in terms of the statements made by learned counsel for the parties. This Court is sanguine that the authorities shall look into the matter in the right earnest. And, appropriate orders, in accordance with law, shall be passed within the time indicated by the learned counsel for the respondents.

Needless to assert that this order shall not constitute any expression of opinion on the merits of the case of either party, for, as indicated above, the competent authority shall examine the claim/grievance of the petitioner, strictly in accordance with law.

(Arun Palli)
Judge

(Vikram Aggarwal)
Judge

01.12.2023
Rajan