

2023:PHHC:125824

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

(209) CRM-M-40865-2023
Date of decision:- 25.09.2023

Sukhraj Singh @ Sukh ...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab ...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AVNEESH JHINGAN

Present: Ms. Meena, Advocate for
Mr. Amit Arora, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms. Navreet K. Barnala, AAG Punjab.

AVNEESH JHINGAN, J. (Oral)

1. This petition under Section 439 Cr.P.C. is filed seeking regular bail
in FIR mentioned below:-

FIR No.	Date	Police Station	Sections
0063	12.04.2022	Ranjit Avenue, District Amritsar	379-B (2), 148, 149 IPC 1860 (offence under Section 201 IPC 1860 added later on) and Section 25 and 27 of Arms Act, 1959

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner claims parity with co-accused
namely Karandeep Singh @ Karan, Jagroop Singh @ Joopa, Harmanjit Singh
@ Harman and Harmanpreet Singh @ Dana who were granted bail vide orders
dated 14.10.2022, 05.11.2022, 07.11.2022 and 06.12.2022 respectively passed
by Ld. Additional Sessions Judge, Amritsar.

3. Learned counsel for the State though opposes the prayer for grant
of bail, he is not in a position to distinguish the case of the petitioner qua the
co-accused so far as grant of bail is concerned.

4. Without commenting on the merits of the case, considering the

CRM-M-40865-2023

2.
2023:PHHC:125824

allegations, on the basis of parity of petitioner vis-a-vis co-accused so far as grant of bail is concerned, though the investigation is complete conclusion of trial is likely to take time, the petitioner is granted bail subject to his furnishing of bail bonds to the satisfaction of the Chief Judicial Magistrate/Duty Magistrate concerned.

5. The petition is allowed.
6. It is clarified that observations made made hereinabove shall not be construed as an expression of opinion on the merits of the case.

(AVNEESH JHINGAN)
JUDGE

September 25, 2023
spn

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether Reportable : Yes/No