

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

(228)

CWP-3910-2018

Date of decision: - 18.07.2023

Tarun Dua and others

....Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

.....Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL

Present:- None for the petitioner.

Mr. Amandeep Joshi, DAG, Haryana
for respondents No.1 to 4 and 9.

None for respondents No.6 to 8.

VIKAS BAHL, J. (ORAL)

1. Present writ petition has been filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India for issuance of a writ in the nature of certiorari to quash the action of the respondents No.7 and 8 with respect to demand of excess fees including development charges has been raised during the academic session 2017-18 from the petitioners.

2. Learned State counsel, at the very outset, has raised an objection to the effect that the present writ petition before this Court is not maintainable and the petitioner was required to approach the FFRC and in the event of any order having been passed by the FFRC against the petitioner, he further had the right to challenge the same by way of an appeal before the Additional Chief Secretary to Government of Haryana, School Education Department, but instead of availing the said alternative

remedy, the petitioner has stated in paragraph No.28 of the present writ petition that there is no other remedy of appeal/revision available to the petitioners.

3. None has appeared on behalf of the petitioner to rebut the said objection.

4. Dismissed for non-prosecution.

5. Liberty is granted to the petitioner to move an application for restoration of the present petition or to file a fresh petition in case any cause survives.

July 18, 2023
naresh.k

(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned/speaking?
Whether reportable?

Yes/No
Yes/No