

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

211

CRM-M-42629-2022 (O&M)
Date of decision: 24.01.2023

SUKHRAJ SINGH

....Petitioner(s)

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB

...Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMAN CHAUDHARY

Present : Mr. Gurcharan Dass, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Manipal Singh Atwal, DAG Punjab.

Ms. Bhupinder Kaur, Advocate
for the complainant.

AMAN CHAUDHARY. J.

Present petition has been filed under Section 438 of the Code of Criminal Procedure for grant of anticipatory bail in FIR No.44 dated 29.06.2022, under Sections 341, 323, 506 and 34 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 (Section 324 and 326 IPC added later on), registered at Police Station Sudhar, District Ludhiana (Rural).

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that in pursuance of order dated 16.09.2022, of this Court, the petitioner has not only joined investigation but has also fully cooperated with the investigating agency. He further submits that in case the investigating agency requires the petitioner to appear, he shall make himself available without demur.

Learned State counsel on instructions affirms the factum of joining the investigation by the petitioner and cooperating with the investigation agency.

He also submits that at this stage, the petitioner is not required for further

custodial interrogation.

In view of the above and without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, anticipatory bail petition filed by the petitioner is allowed and the order dated 16.09.2022 granting interim bail to him, is hereby made absolute, subject to compliance of conditions as specified under Section 438(2) Cr. P.C

However, it is made clear that if the petitioner fails to join and cooperate with the investigation agency as and when required, the State would be at liberty to move an application for cancellation of the present anticipatory bail granted to him.

(AMAN CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE

January 24, 2023

M.Kamra/S.Sharma(syr)

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No