

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-40714-2023

Date of Decision :- 09.10.2023

Ravinder Kumar

.....Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana

.....Respondent

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUVIR SEHGAL

Present: Mr.Vijay Kumar Jindal, Sr.Advocate with
Mr.Vrishank Suri, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr.Munish Sharma, DAG, Haryana.

None for complainant.

SUVIR SEHGAL, J. (ORAL)

1. Instant petition has been filed under Section 438
Cr.P.C. seeking grant of anticipatory bail in:-

FIR No.	Dated	Police Station	Section(s)
502	13.07.2023	Indri, Karnal	420, 467, 468, 471 and 120-B IPC

2. Upon instructions received from ASI Anoop Singh

208

-2-

State counsel submits that though the petitioner has joined and cooperated with the investigation but recovery of embezzled money is to be effected.

3. I have heard the submissions made by the State counsel.

4. Petition for anticipatory bail cannot be converted into a suit for recovery in view of the position as settled by the Supreme Court in Dilip Singh Versus State of Madhya Pradesh and another (2021) 2 SCC 779 .

5. In view of the above, but without commenting upon the merits of the allegations, petition is allowed. Order dated 04.09.2023 is made absolute, subject to satisfaction of conditions laid down under Section 438 (2) of the Code of Criminal Procedure.

09.10.2023
Brij

(SUVIR SEHGAL)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned/speaking
Whether reportable

: Yes/No
: Yes/No