

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

230

CRM-M-40681-2023
Date of decision:-09.10.2023

Manjot Singh @ Jodha

... Petitioner

Vs.

State of Punjab

... Respondent

CORAM:HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK GUPTA.

Present:- Mr. Jaideep Verma, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. R.S. Khaira, DAG, Punjab.

DEEPAK GUPTA J. (ORAL).

Petitioner is praying for regular bail in case FIR No.114 dated 23.05.2023 under Sections 379-B (s)/34 of IPC (later on added Section 411 of IPC), registered at Police Station Haibowal, Commissionerate Ludhiana, Punjab.

Status report by way of an affidavit of Mr. Mandeep Singh, PPS (ACP (West), Ludhaina, on behalf of respondent-State has been filed by learned State counsel and is taken on record.

As per allegations, on 22.05.2023, complainant Harsimran Singh son of Harjit Singh was going on his Aactiva at about 7.30 p.m. when a Dezire car bearing No. PB10-FV-8586 occupied by 4-5 persons came behind him. He was made/forced to stop his activa. Those persons encircled him and on the point of some sharp edged weapon snatched Rs.3200/-and his mobile phone make OPPO. He found the snatchers at his own level to be Gursharan Singh, Yogesh Kumar, Dinesh Raj @ Kaku, Manjot Singh @ Jodha (petitioner herein) and Sandeep Singh @ Bhau, who are habitual of committing snatching. It was alleged

that earlier also cases regarding snatching are registered against them.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner has been falsely implicated in the case. He is not involved in any other case and that despite the occurrence to have been taken place on 22.05.2023, the FIR has been registered on 23.05.2023. Still further it is contended that complainant did not disclose source of his information as to how he came to know the names of snatchers.

As per custody certificate placed on record by learned State counsel, petitioner is in custody for last 04 months and 06 days.

The petitioner has no criminal antecedents. The trial may take time to conclude.

Having regard to the aforesaid factual position, but without commenting anything upon the merits of the case, the petitioner is admitted to regular bail. He is ordered to be released on bail on his furnishing bail bonds and surety bonds to the satisfaction of learned Trial Court concerned.

Allowed.

(DEEPAK GUPTA)
JUDGE

09.10.2023

shweta

Whether speaking/reasoned?
Whether reportable?

Yes/No
Yes/No