

2023:PHHC:053767

**251 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-43133-2022
Date of Decision: 17.04.2023**

SATNAM SINGH AND ORS	...Petitioners
Vs.	
STATE OF PUNJAB AND ANOTHER	...Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJIV BERRY

Present: Mr. Pradeep Singh, Advocate for the petitioners.

Ms. Kanica Sachdeva, AAG Punjab.

Mr. Abdul Aziz, Advocate for respondent No.2.

SANJIV BERRY, J. (Oral)

This is a petition filed under Section 482 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973, for quashing of DDR No. 43 dated 15.02.2022 and DDR No. 20 dated 17.02.2022 under Sections 452 and 323 IPC registered at Amargarh, District Malerkotla in FIR No. 62 dated 15.02.2022 under Sections 451, 324, 323, 34 and 506 IPC and Offence 326 IPC added later on registered at Police Station Amargarh District Malerkotla (Annexure P-3) and all consequential proceedings arising therefrom on the basis of compromise dated 31.08.2022 (Annexure P-4) executed between the parties.

[2]. With the intervention of respectables and elderly people of the society, the parties have arrived at a settlement vide compromise deed dated 31.08.2022 (Annexure P-4), which is duly signed by them. The matter was referred to the Court below for recording of statements of the parties and to report with respect to genuineness of the compromise arrived at between the parties. The District and Sessions Judge, Sangrur, vide report dated 13.12.2022, has apprised this Court that the compromise arrived at between

the parties is genuine and without any pressure.

[3]. Respondents No. 2 is represented by their counsel and do not oppose the compromise.

[4]. In view of the report of the District and Sessions Judge, Sangrur, and in view of the decision of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in "Gian Singh Vs. State of Punjab and another", 2012(4) RCR (Criminal) 543 and "Narinder Singh and Others Vs. State of Punjab and Another", (2014) 6 SCC 466, this Court is of the opinion that no useful purpose can be served by keeping with the criminal proceedings pending, since the complainant has himself compromised the dispute with the petitioners.

[5]. In the circumstances, the present petition is allowed. DDR No. 43 dated 15.02.2022 and DDR No. 20 dated 17.02.2022 under Sections 452 and 323 IPC registered at Amargarh, District Malerkotla in FIR No. 62 dated 15.02.2022 under Sections 451, 324, 323, 34 and 506 IPC and Offence 326 IPC added later on registered at Police Station Amargarh District Malerkotla (Annexure P-3) and all consequential proceedings arising therefrom, are hereby quashed on the basis of compromise *qua* the present petitioners.

(SANJIV BERRY)
(JUDGE)

17.04.2023

kv

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No