

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

Sr. No.117

CRM-M-45759-2022

Date of Decision: 10.01.2023

Amanpreet Singh and others

.... Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and another

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE TRIBHUVAN DAHIYA

Present: Mr. Gunjeet Singh Brar, Advocate
for the petitioners.

TRIBHUVAN DAHIYA, J. (ORAL)

This is a petition under Section 482 Cr.P.C. seeking quashing of complaint dated 24.04.2018 and summoning order dated 16.03.2021, whereby the petitioners have been summoned to face trial under Sections 323, 406, 498-A, 506 and 120-B of IPC in criminal complaint case No.COMI-38/2018 filed by respondent No.2.

2. A perusal of the complaint shows that there are specific allegations against the petitioners and finding *prima facie* case against them, they have been summoned by the impugned order.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioners by referring to the statement of the complainant, i.e., Annexure P-2 made by her before the Family Court, Sirsa in proceedings under Section 125 Cr.P.C., states that the complainant had testified that she had no problem against her husband, father-in-law and mother-in-law. That singular line in complainant's testimony cannot be a basis to quash the complaint and the summoning order. Besides, the testimony was recorded in proceedings under Section 125 Cr.P.C., and would be relevant for the facts of that case. It can not be a basis to set aside

the impugned orders.

4. In view thereof, there is no ground to entertain this petition and the same is accordingly dismissed.

(TRIBHUVAN DAHIYA)
JUDGE

10.01.2023
Maninder

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No