

237

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-40939-2023 (O&M)

Date of decision: 29.09.2023

Lakshita Saini

...Petitioner

VS

State of Haryana and another

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN MONGA**Present:-** Mr.Vikas Gulia, Advocate for
Mr. Yashveer Kharb, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr.Karan Garg, AAG, Haryana.

Mr.S.S.Nain, Advocate for
Mr. Vishal Yadav, Advocate,
for respondent no.2.

ARUN MONGA, J. (ORAL)

Petitioner seeks quashing of FIR No.226 dated 14.04.2021 (Annexure P-1) registered under Sections 323, 379-B, 34 and 506 of the IPC, at Police Station Panipat City, District Panipat, and all subsequent proceedings arising therefrom, on the basis of compromise dated 31.07.2023 (Annexure P-2), arrived at between the private parties.

2. Since quashing was sought on the basis of compromise, this Court vide order dated 21.08.2023 had directed the private parties to appear before the *Illaqa* Magistrate/trial Court for recording their statements in support of the compromise. A veracity report was also called for.

3. Report dated 06.09.2023 of learned Additional Sessions Judge, Panipat, had been received. Report reveals that statements of complainant party i.e. respondent no.2 as also of accused/present petitioner herein, were duly recorded. It is opined that a compromise has been arrived at without any pressure, undue influence or coercion. The report is accompanied by the statements of parties. It is apparent that the complainant/respondent no.2 and accused/petitioner have arrived at a compromise

voluntarily and without any coercion.

4. Learned counsel for complainant/respondent no.2 states that he would have no objection to the quashing of FIR in question.
5. This Court in appropriate cases can exercise the power under Section 482 Cr.P.C. for quashing of criminal proceedings/FIR on the basis of compromise. A reference in this regard may be made to a decision dated 29.09.2021 of the Supreme Court in case titled “*Ramgopal and anr. V. The State of Madhya Pradesh*”¹ and a Full Bench decision of this Court in “*Kulwinder Singh and others V. State of Punjab and others*”².
6. In the premise it is an appropriate case for exercise of power under Section 482 Cr.P.C. and to bring to an end the criminal proceedings initiated in the light of impugned FIR.
7. Petition is thus allowed. FIR No.226 dated 14.04.2021 (Annexure P-1) registered under Sections 323, 379-B, 34 and 506 of the IPC, at Police Station Panipat City, District Panipat, and all proceedings emanating there from *qua* the petitioner stand quashed.
8. Pending application(s), if any, shall also stand disposed of.

(ARUN MONGA)
JUDGE

29.09.2023
'D'Vir

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether reportable:	Yes/No

¹Criminal Appeal No.1489 of 2012