

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

(253)

CWP-38-2021

Date of decision: - 02.03.2023

Parveen Kumar

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

.....Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL

Present:- Mr. Shrey Goel, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Rajneesh Chadwal, AAG, Haryana
for respondents No.1 to 5.

Mr. Dinesh Babu Khurana, Advocate
for respondents No.6 & 8.

VIKAS BAHL, J. (ORAL)

This writ petition has been filed under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India for issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus directing the respondent authorities to remove the respondents No.6 and 7 from the post of Sarpanch and Panch respectively and to take legal action against respondents No.6 and 7.

On 22.02.2023, this Court was pleased to pass the following order: -

Learned State counsel has submitted that in the present case, the tenure of the Sarpanch and the Panch has elapsed and thus, the present petition has been rendered infructuous.

*Learned counsel for the petitioner prays for an adjournment
on the ground that the arguing counsel is in some personal difficulty.*

Adjourned to 02.03.2023.

To be taken up at 1:45 pm.

(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE

22.02.2023”

Learned counsel for the petitioner seeks to withdraw the present writ petition with liberty to avail alternate remedy in case any cause survives.

In view of the above statement of learned counsel for the petitioner, the present writ petition is dismissed as withdrawn, with the aforesaid liberty.

(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE

March 02, 2023
naresh.k

Whether reasoned/speaking?
Whether reportable?

Yes/No
Yes/No