

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP-21196-2022

Date of decision: 23.03.2023

Madan Tayal**.....Petitioner.****vs.****State of Haryana and others****.....Respondents.**

**CORAM: - HON'BLE MR JUSTICE AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJIV BERRY**

Present: - Mr. Divyam Singh, Advocate, for
Mr. Vikram Singh, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Praveen S. Chauhan, Sr. Addl. AG, Haryana.

Mr. Parveen Mehta, Advocate,
for respondents No. 2 and 3.

Mr. Ankur Mittal, Advocate and
Ms. Kushaldeep Kaur, Advocate,
for respondent No. 4.

AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH, J.

Counsel for HSIIDC had made a statement that the orders passed by the Appellate Authority have been duly complied with and the portal has been opened, showing the status of the plot to be restored, which obviously is subject to the outcome of the pending Revision Petition, which has been preferred by the said respondent and the same is pending before the Revisional Authority.

Counsel for the petitioner has referred to a communication dated 21.03.2023 which has been received from HSIIDC and on that basis, he contends that as far as the cost amount of the plot is concerned, i.e. 2,11,954/-,

the petitioner would deposit the same forthwith. However, qua the remaining

amount towards enhancement cost of Rs. 56,71,532/-, the petitioner has already moved an appropriate application before the Estate Officer, HSVP, Panipat, under the ‘One Time Settlement’ Scheme, which right of the petitioner was protected by this Court vide order dated 29.09.2022.

Let the Estate Officer, HSVP, Panipat, consider the case of the petitioner under the ‘One Time Settlement’ Scheme and pass appropriate orders within a period of four weeks.

With these observations, writ petition stands disposed of.

(AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH)
JUDGE

(SANJIV BERRY)
JUDGE

23.03.2023
preeti

whether speaking/non speaking	yes/no
whether reportable/non reportable	yes/no