

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-40941-2023

Date of Decision :- 05.10.2023

Dimpi

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

.....Respondents

CORAM:-

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUVIR SEHGAL

Present: Mr.Divyam Singh, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr.Munish Sharma, DAG, Haryana.

SUVIR SEHGAL, J. (ORAL)

1. Instant petition has been filed under Section 482 Cr.P.C. for directing official respondents to decide applications dated 02.08.2023 and 08.08.2023, Annexures P1 and P2 submitted by the petitioner.

2. On the asking of the Court, State counsel has sought instructions and filed status report by way of affidavit of Deputy Superintendent of Police, Charkhi Dadri, wherein it has been *inter alia* submitted as under:

“3. *That during enquiry it has been found that the disputed house belongs to Rattan Singh son of Bhani Ram resident of village Chappar District Charkhi Dadri (Grandfather of petitioner) and in his life time Rattan Singh*

made a registered will dated 30.07.2019 and bequeathed his entire property in the name of Surender and Sahil sons of Satbir son of Rattan Singh (Uncle of petitioner). Earlier Rattan Singh has given their respective shares to the petitioner and her mother also at village Devrala and father of the petitioner resides there. The share of petitioner's father in village Chappar has been sold by them to Bani Singh. As the ancestral house was in dilapidated condition, Satbir sold the house to Ranbir son of Shish Ram (Respondent No.14). As per property ID and Chulha Tax records, Satbir son of Rattan was found to be owner of the house, who also produced the registered will of the said property in his name. The petitioner failed to produce any document showing claim on the house. After enquiry of the complaints, legal opinion in this regard was sought according to which the matter is found to be of civil nature. No cognizable offence was found to be made out in the matter and the complaints were hence, filed.

4. That during the course of enquiry the matter was found to be of property/land dispute between the petitioner and private respondents. No threat was found to be issued to the petitioner by the private respondents either. Hence, no cognizable offence was found to be made out in the matter and both the complaints after due enquiry has been filed. Hence, the present petition is liable to be dismissed."

3. In view of the above development, counsel for the petitioner

seeks and is granted permission to withdraw the present petition to avail the remedy available to him in accordance with law.

4. Dismissed as withdrawn with liberty as aforesaid.

05.10.2023
Brij

(SUVIR SEHGAL)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned/speaking	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No