

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP-22604-2021

Date of decision: 21.02.2023

Surender Singh and others

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARNARESH SINGH GILL

Present:- Mr. Amarjit Beniwal, Advocate for
Mr. Vikram Sheoran, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

Mr. Rohit Arya, DAG, Haryana.

HARNARESH SINGH GILL, J. (ORAL)

Challenge in the present petition is to the order dated 26.04.2021 (Annexure P-2), vide which the petitioners have been allotted District Mahendergarh, by ignoring the choices filled up by them.

At the outset, learned State counsel submits that as per the transfer policy, the petitioners being male employees cannot claim any benefit on account of 'couple case'. He further submits that the petitioners have participated in the general transfer drive and in view of the their preference/choice, they have been adjusted in District Bhiwani.

In view of the above, the present petition has become infructuous.

Disposed of accordingly.

21.02.2023

parveen kumar

**(HARNARESH SINGH GILL)
JUDGE**

Whether reasoned/speaking?
Whether reportable?

Yes/No
Yes/No